ARCHEOSTORIE JOURNAL of PUBLIC ARCHAEOLOGY

VOLUME 1 / 2017
Topic of the Year: Small but Kind of Mighty

3OPEN ACCESS

© CC BY 4.0

©The Authors. The contents of this volume are licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. For a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/ or send a letter to Creative Commons, 444 Castro Street, Suite 900, Mountain View, California, 94041, USA. This license allows for copying and adapting any part of the work for personal and commercial use, providing appropriate credit is clearly stated.

ISSN: 2532-3512

How to cite this volume:

Please use AJPA as abbreviation and 'Archeostorie. Journal of Public Archaeology' as full title.

Published by:

Center for Public Archaeology Studies 'Archeostorie' - cultural association via Enrico Toti 14, 57128 Livorno (ITALY) / ✓ archeostorie@gmail.com

First published 2017.

Archeostorie. Journal of Public Archaeology is registered with the Court of Livorno no. 2/2017 of January 24, 2017.

ARCHEOSTORIE JOURNAL of PUBLIC ARCHAEOLOGY

VOLUME 1 / 2017

www.archeostoriejpa.eu/2017

Editor in chief

Cinzia Dal Maso - Center for Public Archaeology Studies 'Archeostorie'

Luca Peyronel - IULM University, Milan

Advisory board

Chiara Bonacchi - University College London

Luca Bondioli - Luigi Pigorini National Museum of Prehistory and Ethnography, Rome

Giorgio Buccellati - University of California at Los Angeles

Aldo Di Russo - Unicity, Rome

Dora Galanis - Hellenic Ministry of Culture and Sports

Filippo Maria Gambari - Italian Ministry of Cultural Heritage and Tourism

Peter Gould - University of Pennsylvania and The American University of Rome

Christian Greco - Egyptian Museum, Turin

Richard Hodges - The American University of Rome

Daniele Manacorda - RomaTre University

Stefania Mancuso - University of Calabria

Akira Matsuda - University of Tokyo

Marco Milanese - University of Sassari

Massimo Montella - University of Macerata

Valentino Nizzo - Italian Ministry of Cultural Heritage and Tourism

Massimo Osanna - University of Naples Federico II

Elisabetta Pallottino - RomaTre University

Grazia Semeraro - University of Salento

Francesca Spatafora - Archaeological Museum 'Antonino Salinas,' Palermo

Sebastiano Tusa - Superintendency of the Sea, Sicily Region

Guido Vannini - University of Florence

Giuliano Volpe - University of Foggia

Enrico Zanini - University of Siena

Editorial board

Giovanna Baldasarre, Alice Bifarella, Chiara Boracchi, Giuliano De Felice, Francesco Ghizzani Marcìa, Carolina Megale, Giulia Osti, Anna Paterlini, Francesco Ripanti, Gioia Zenoni

Language editor

Simone Marchesi - Princeton University

Design, pagination, graphics & website

Giulia Osti

Referees

Luca Bondioli, Chiara Bonacchi, Giuliano De Felice, Nicoletta Frapiccini, Francesco Ghizzani Marcia, Enrico Giannichedda, Marcella Giorgio, Daniele Manacorda, Stefania Mancuso, Ilaria Marchesi, Akira Matsuda, Massimo Osanna, Valentino Nizzo, Maurizio Paoletti, Luca Peyronel, Fabio Pinna, Grazia Semeraro, Pier Giorgio Spanu, Sebastiano Tusa, Fabio Viola, Giuliano Volpe, Enrico Zanini

INDEX

Editorial Cinzia Dal Maso	
15 Memories	
Italy to Italians. Interview with Daniele Manacorda Carolina Megale	17
Butrint before the Butrint Foundation Richard Hodges	25
Topic of the year: Small but Kind of Mighty	
'Pompeii-mania' in schools Down Under Louise Zarmati	37
Memory and Earthquake. The Pilastri excavation project (Emilia Romagna, Italy) toward a shared community archaeology approach Giulia Osti, Lara Dal Fiume, Simone Bergamini, Rita Guerzoni, Micol Boschetti, Valentino Nizzo, Margherita Pirani, Stefano Tassi	47
The case of the <i>Arles Rhône 3</i> Project: an example of underwater heritage communication Caterina De Vivo	57
The Virtual Etruscan Museum of Populonia Gasparri Collection: enhancing the visitor's experience Carolina Megale, Carlo Baione	67
Edutainment and gamification: a novel communication strategy for cultural heritage Stefania Mancuso, Maurizio Muzzopappa & Fabio Bruno	79

91 Satura Lanx

Italian public archaeology on fieldwork: an overview Francesco Ripanti	93
Disciplinary locus and professional habitus: the roles of Researcher and Discipline within the socio-political and cultural domains Massimiliano Secci	105
Italian museums and Twitter: an analysis of Museum Week 2016 Chiara Zuanni	119
135 Postscript Akira Matsuda	
137 Archaeotales	
The Lombards, a completely different story Mariangela Galatea Vaglio	139
April 12th, 1204: Constantinople under siege Francesco Ripanti	143
The Christmas Song of the custodian Marina Lo Blundo	147
151 News	
Living archaeology at the Archaeodrome Francesco Ripanti	153
A wedding with surprise: orange blossoms at the museum Nicoletta Frapiccini	155
How Millennials are changing our culture Anna Paterlini	157
Being an archaeologist in Kurdistan. Interview with Luca Peyronel Cinzia Dal Maso, Chiara Boracchi	161
The Monuments Men of Libya Giulio Lucarini	165

169 Children's Corner

Tonight, we're sleeping at the museum! Giovanna Baldasarre	171
The source-chest	175
Nina Marotta	
179 Reviews	
Warship battering rams on display in Favignana Cinzia Dal Maso	181
Light on the new Salinas Museum	185
Flavia Frisone	
The Riace celebrities Giovanna Baldasarre	189
Agamemnon's Version Giovanna Baldasarre	193
Giovanna Daluasane	
Watching the world with Blu's eyes Cinzia Dal Maso	195
CHIZIA DAI MASO	



www.archeostoriejpa.eu

VOLUME 1

February 2017

Section

REVIEWS

Translated from **Archeostorie Magazine by:** Erika Bianchi

ම Open Access

© CC BY 4.0

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

How to cite:

Baldasarre, G. 2017. The Riace celebrities. Archeostorie. Journal of Public Archaeology. 1: pp. 189-191. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.23821/2017_8c

The Riace celebrities

Giovanna Baldasarre

Center for Public Archaeology Studies 'Archeostorie' **■** g.baldasarre@archeostoriejpa.eu

Keywords



Riace Bronzes, Salvatore Settis, Maurizio Paoletti

Since their discovery in 1972, the Riace Bronzes have been the source of much debate. In addition, they have appeared in comic strips, tourism campaigns and commercials that only increased their success and media exposure. But where do we draw the line between use and abuse? The essays collected in a volume edited by Salvatore Settis and Maurizio Paoletti, recently published by Donzelli, offer reflections and suggestions on an exemplary case study.

First thing first: it didn't take much for the Riace Bronzes, recovered from the seafloor just a few decades ago, to be numbered among the world's most popular ancient artworks - in the same league as, say, the Parthenon's marbles or the Venus de Milo. There are multiple reasons for this incredible success: the extraordinary artistic quality of the statues and their material - which is bronze and not marble, like most of the Greek originals that have survived to this day; the gorgeous blending of classical beauty and strong virility that was achieved in the Bronzes; finally, the halo of mystery surrounding the statues, that has captured from the start popular imagination. Had the archaeologists demonstrated some willingness to communicate their knowledge, instead of just acting as the specialized keepers of ancient art, they could have exploited the huge popularity of the Bronzes to influence audience response and prevent the two statues from drifting into the definitely cheesy realm of marketing that has turned them into pop icons.

The essays collected in the book On the Use and Abuse of the Riace Bronzes, edited by Salvatore Settis and Maurizio Paoletti and published by Donzelli, all address this point. Blending irony and bitter reflections, the authors revisit the history of the Bronzes while exploring the lights and shadows of their management and preservation, as well as the often dysfunctional role of national and local institutions. The Bronzes, that is, should have offered an opportunity to educate the public into a greater appreciation of their national cultural heritage, rather than being exploited for crass commercialism; the book deliberately tries to make amends for this abuse. However, individual responsibilities and exculpations aside, one may ask why it took over thirty years to realize and acknowledge the mistakes that have been made.

Had the archaeologists been more considerate of the crowd gathered on the beach in those days of mid-August 1972, they would have perceived right away the people's enthusiasm and strong emotional reaction to those two statues, writes Salvatore Settis. Indeed, the discovery of the Riace Bronzes caused surprise and commotion also among scholars, but removing the encrustations from the metal surfaces and cleaning them seemed - wrongly, we have realized - more urgent and more important than developing a discourse on the statues that treated them as masterpieces of classical art, "exceptional testimony of an art (the bronze casting technique) almost completely devoured by time and man's greed."

The mistake of not emphasizing the sublime artistic value of the pair was repeated again in 1981, when the restored Bronzes were displayed first at the Archaeological Museum of Florence, and then in the Quirinal Palace in Rome. Long lines of visitors eager to see the two masterpieces crowded the exhibitions, which enjoyed extensive and global media coverage. But the archaeologists again kept their peace, bewildered and leery of a success that they labeled as uncultured and barbaric, a sort of newfound, irrational taste for old things at a time of general disinterest in antiquities. In response to the public's thirst for information and understanding, they only published an illustrated full-color booklet: too little to turn the non-specialists' totally uncritical and spontaneous appreciation into an ingrained awareness of the cultural, historical and artistic significance of the Bronzes.

The major fault, both in those years and in the following decades, was the lack of a serious academic debate that, regardless of the subjects' identification, would speculate about the statues' style and the artistic climate where they originated. This is the core contention of Mario Torelli's perhaps reductive but incisive analysis of the communication mistakes archaeologists have made. No one was really able to explain to the general public that the two massive bronzes recovered from the sea were nothing but heroes, just like the ones celebrated in the verses of the Greek tragedians. They were not, after all, the obscure beings that the public, starved for information and lacking any interpretive tools, was led to believe they were. The Riace Bronzes were thus degraded to serve as material tokens in a highsociety understanding of culture. As such, they were desired and even expected to start eventhopping, bouncing from La Maddalena G8 summit to the Expo. Fortunately, this pathetic tentative to exploit their mass popularity and the surprise effect they could produce to obfuscate the real problems of the Italian cultural heritage and avoid critical reflection around them, was consistently foiled.

What is, then, the Bronzes' proper function? asks Maurizio Paoletti, echoed by Simonetta Bonomi. Their good use begins where the abuse ends, and it involves in the first place the effort of promoting the two statues not in isolation but as part and parcel of the extraordinary collection housed in the National Archaeological Museum of Reggio Calabria - an institution that should in turn be managed and promoted in order to make it known and attractive to patrons. The Bronzes should work, that is, as cultural brands, capable of attracting people to the Museum and introducing them to exhibits designed to minimize their propensity for distraction and selfie-obsession, and facilitate enjoyment of art at first hand.

The very room where the Bronzes are now displayed should be better fitted to welcome visitors and introduce them to a unique cultural and emotional experience, states the Reggio Calabria Museum's new Director Carmelo Malacrino. Bathing the pair in a "warmer and more dramatic" lighting, for instance, could soften the impression of the room's cold impersonality and highlight every single detail of the metallic surfaces. Lightning aside, we might add, the planning of the communication inside and outside of the museum should be organically structured to inform visitors of all ages about the incredible art and history behind the Bronzes. The twenty-minute visit should not be perceived as an experience outside of time, but as a total immersion in the culture and beauty of 5th century Greece. An immersion ideally not limited to the museum's rooms, but overflowing to involve the surrounding area, its past and its cultural heritage that are so worthy of attention and interest.

The volume edited by Settis and Paoletti contains perhaps more bitterness than optimism. It draws a dysfunctional portrait of the Italian cultural heritage system, plagued by a long list of ills: omissions and negligence, time-consuming bureaucracy and sterile debates. A system that has been incapable of preventing the general public from turning their artistic heritage into a heap of idols to worship, when they could have used them as the basis for a common cultural identity.

Has there been any change of direction, as of late? There are encouraging but not yet definite signs. At the moment we can only wish that something may change for the Riace Bronzes and a real resurgence may take place for them, as for many other national artworks and monuments.



